

Possessive constructions in Kaqchikel

Polina Pleshak (IxQ'anil), polinapleshak@yandex.ru

ILS RAS, Saint-Petersburg,

XV Conference on Typology and Grammar for Young Scholars, 24.11.2018

The “plot” of my talk: All sources say: Head-marking construction is the only possible → it seems that I have found something new and undescribed (!) → syntactic properties of both → hmmm, actually, it is not what I thought, but it remains something that was understudied → some peculiarities of the phenomenon

1. Possessive constructions (following (Koptjevskaja-Tamm 2002))

1.1. A sort of definition

- Possessive relations – relations between two entities:
 - ✓ Core possessive relations: legal ownership (*my father's house, the knife of my father*); kinship (*Peter's son*); part-whole (*the mountain's top*)
 - ✓ Possessive constructions – constructions expressing possessive relations
- Other adnominal relations can be expressed with possessive constructions in the languages of the world
- The splits on the scale of such semantic relations differ across languages
- Such semantic relations – possessive relations in a broad sense

1.2. Previous works

- (Nichols 1988): Languages of North America

Parameters of comparison:

- ✓ Word order
- ✓ Locus of possessive marking/flagging
- ✓ Alienability split → different structures

Classes of nouns according to their behavior in possessive constructions

- ✓ Obligatorily possessed (inalienable possession)
- ✓ Optionally possessed (alienable possession)
- ✓ Indirectly possessed
- ✓ Never possessed

Types of constructions

- ✓ Head-marking
- ✓ Dependent-marking
- ✓ Double-marking
- ✓ Free marking (there is a marker; neither on the dependent nor on the head)
- ✓ No marking

Different strategies

- (Krasnoukhova 2012): following Nichols but Languages of South America

2. Data and background

2.1. Language and data

- Kaqchikel language < Kichean < Mayan
- 445,000 (2003 census). Ethnic population: 833,000 (2003 census). (<https://www.ethnologue.com/language/cak>)
- Guatemala
- My data: the fieldtrip to the village Patzún (Chimaltenango district, Guatemala) in June 2018.

2.2. Kaqchikel grammar (some needed facts) (Brown et al. 2010; Matzar et al. 1997)

- Head-marking language: “Mayan family is uniformly head-marking” (Nichols 1986: 83)

Table 1: Possessive prefixes (examples: *wuj* – ‘book’ / *achib’äl* – ‘picture’)

Person/Number	SG	PL
1	nu -wuj / w -achib’äl	qa -wuj / q -achib’äl
2	a -wuj / aw -achib’äl	i -wuj / iw -achib’äl
3	ru -wuj / r -achib’äl	ki -wuj / k -achib’äl

- Types of nouns according to possession
 - ✓ No change (or vowel change), possessive prefix

(a) *ch’atal* [table] – *nu-ch’atal* [1SG-table] → no change

(b) *ch’aqät* [chair] – *nu-ch’aqat* [1SG-chair] → vowel change (compare to *-achib’äl*)

- ✓ Marked unpossessed form, possessive prefix to the possessed form

(c) *jolom-aj* [head-NPSD] – *nu-jolom* [1SG-head]

(d) *xib’al-om* [female’s.brother-NPSD] – *nu-xib’al* [1SG-female’s.brother]

- ✓ Marked possessed form, possessive prefix to the possessed form

(e) *kik’* [blood] – *nu-kik’-el* [1SG-blood-PSD]

- ✓ Always possessed: relational nouns

(f) *w-ichin* [1SG-GEN] ‘mine’; *q-ik’in* [1PL-COM] ‘with us’

- Adnominal possessive construction

(1) *x-in-tz’et ru-me’al a Juan*
 CMP-A.1SG-see POSS.3SG-male’s.daughter CLF.M John
 ‘I’ve seen John’s daughter’.

- Predicative possessive construction

(2) *xta Gilda e k’o oxi’ r-al*
 CLF.F Gilda 3.PL EX three POSS.3SG-female’s.child
 ‘Gilda has three daughters’.

3. Problem

Only a **Head-marking** construction is discussed

(3) *x-in-tz’et ri ru-po’t Jimena*
 CMP-A.1SG-see DEF POSS.3SG-güipil Jimena
 ‘I’ve seen **Jimena’s güipil**’.

There is another possessive construction, which is... a **Dependent-marking** construction

(4) *x-in-tz’et ri po’t chin Jimena*
 CMP-A.1SG-see DEF güipil GEN Jimena
 ‘I’ve seen **Jimena’s güipil**’.

The same pattern seems to work for the predicative possession

(5a) *xta Jimena manaq ru-warab’äl jay*
 CLF.F Jimena NEG POSS.3SG-dormitory house

(5b) *chin xta Jimena manaq warab’äl jay*
 GEN CLF.F Jimena NEG dormitory house
 ‘Jimena doesn’t have her own room’.

Research questions:

- Which factors influence the choice of the construction?
- Which syntactic properties does each construction have?
- What sort of construction is this *chin*-construction?

4. The choice of the construction

4.1. Alienability split

Inalienable possession can be encoded only with **Head-marking** construction

- (6a) *rìn x-ø-in-kach'uj ri r-aqän jun ti xtän*
 I CMP-A.3SG-E.1SG-tread DEF POSS.3SG-foot one DIM girl
- (6b) **rìn x-ø-in-kach'uj ri aqan-aj chin jun ti xtän*
 I CMP-A.3SG-E.1SG-tread DEF foot-NPSD GEN one DIM girl
 'I stepped on **the foot of a girl**'.
- (7) *ru-nimal Jimena / *nimal-axel chin Jimena jebël n-bixan*
 POSS.3SG-elder.ss.sibling Jimena elder.ss.sibling-NPSD GEN Jimena nice NCMP-sing
 '**Jimena's elder sister** sings well'.

It is true for predicative possession as well:

- (8a) *xta María manaq r-al*
 CLF.F Mary NEG POSS.3SG-female's.child
- (8b) **chin xta María manaq al*
 GEN CLF.F Mary NEG female's.child
 'Mary doesn't have children'.

4.2. Semantic relations

- Anchoring relations, except locative and temporal, are encoded with Head-marking constructions

SOCIAL

- (9) *la r-achibil xta Julia / achibil chin xta Julia jebël n-bixan*
 DEM.DIS POSS.3SG-friend CLF.F Julia friend GEN CLF.F Julia nice NCMP-sing
 '**Julia's friend** sings well'.

LOCATIVE

- (10) *wakami x-e-qa-tz'et ri koxtum chin Iximche' / *ri ru-koxtum Iximche*
 now CMP-B.3PL-A.1PL-see DEF temple GEN Tecpan DEF POSS.3SG-temple Tecpan
 'Today we've seen **the Temples of Tecpan**'.

- Non-anchoring relations cannot be encoded with a Head-marking construction

PURPOSE

- (11) *tab'ana'utzil t-a-ch'aj-a' ri cucillo chin ti'ij / *ru-cuchillo ti'ij*
 please IMP-A.2SG-wash-STEM DEM knife GEN meat POSS.3SG-knife meat
 'Wash **the knife for meat**, please'.

Table 2: Relations and constructions

Relations	Head-marking	Dependent-marking
kinship	+	-
social relations	+	+
author	+	+
group-member	+	+
ownership	+	+
body part	+	-
part-whole	+	+
<i>locative</i>	-	+
<i>temporal</i>	-	+
predestination	-	+
group-membership	-	+
purpose	-	+

4.3. Animacy hierarchy

- Pronominal possessors can be encoded only with Head-marking construction

(12) *ri nu-te' x-ø-u-ch'äj ri nu-camisa / *ri camisa w-ichin*
 DEF POSS.1SG-mother CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-wash DEF POSS.1SG-shirt DEF shirt POSS.1SG-GEN
 'My mother washed **my shirt**'.

Compare to the same relation with a non-pronominal possessor

(13) *ri nu-te' x-ø-u-ch'äj ri ru-camisa Fátima /*
 DEF POSS.1SG-mother CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-wash DEF POSS.3SG-shirt Fátima
ri camisa ri-chin Fátima
 DEF shirt POSS.3SG-GEN Fátima
 'My mother washed **Fátima's shirt**'.

It is true for all persons

(14) **rïn x-in-kach'uj ri camisa ri-chin (rija')*
 I CMP-A.1SG-wash DEF shirt POSS.3SG-GEN he/she
 Int.: 'I washed **her shirt**'.

- Alienability is restricted to animate possessors

(15) *x-in-kach'uj ri r-aqän ri tz'i' / ri aqän-aj chin ri tz'i'*
 CMP-A.1SG-press DEF POSS.3SG-leg DEF dog DEF leg-NPSD GEN DEF dog
 'I've stepped on **dog's paw**'.

(16) *rïn x-in-kach'uj ri r-aqän jun ti xtän /*
 I CMP-A.1SG-press DEF POSS.3SG-leg one DIM GIRL
**ri aqän-aj chin jun ti xtän*
 DEF leg-NPSD GEN one DIM girl
 'I've stepped **a girl's foot**'.

4.4. Interim summary

- The construction with the marked possessor is more general: exception – nouns that prefer to be possessed – alienability split
- The alienability split is restricted only to animate possessors – animacy split

- The construction with the marked possessor is restricted to nouns (no pronominal possessors) – animacy split
- Head-marking construction is restricted to anchoring relations (but not temporal and locative) – semantic split

Further question: is the marked possessor internal?

5. Syntactic properties of the constructions

Agreement with the possessor; order of the constituents; nominal predication; pronominalization of possessee

5.1. Agreement with the possessor

- Obligatory in Head-marking construction with the unmarked possessor

(17a) *ri tz'i' x-ø-u-tij ri ru-jiq'ob'al Jimena*
 DEF dog CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-eat DEF POSS.3SG-fruit Jimena

(17b) **ri tz'i' x-ø-u-tij ri jiq'ob'al Jimena*
 DEF dog CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-eat DEF fruit Jimena
 ‘The dog has eaten **Jimena’s fruit**’.

- No agreement of the head-noun with the marked possessor

(18a) *ri tz'i' x-ø-u-tij ri jiq'ob'al chin Jimena*
 DEF dog CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-eat DEF fruit GEN Jimena

(18b) **ri tz'i' x-ø-u-tij ri ru-jiq'ob'al chin Jimena*
 DEF dog CMP-A.3SG-E.3SG-eat DEF POSS.3SG-fruit GEN Jimena
 ‘The dog has eaten **Jimena’s fruit**’.

- Agreement of the relational noun GEN with the possessor

with 3SG possessor: GEN can either agree or appear in a short non-agreeing form

(19) *x-qa-sikij ri sikiwuj (ri-)chin ri Fátima*
 CMP-A.1PL-read DEF book POSS.3SG-GEN DEF Fatima
 ‘We’ve read **Fatima’s** book’.

GEN must agree with 3PL possessor

(20) *Jimena x-e-ru-yeq' ri wexaj ki-chin / *ri-chin*
 Jimena CMP-B.3PL-A.3SG-step DEF trousers POSS.3PL-GEN POSS.3SG-GEN
ri taq ru-nimal
 DEF DIM.PL POSS.3SG-elder.ss.sibling
 ‘Jimena stepped the trousers of her **elder sisters**’.

5.2. Order of possessor and other constituents

5.2.1. Possessor and possessee

- Unmarked possessor is always postnominal

(21a) *Fátima x-u-rétz jun ru-wexaj ri Jimena*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break one POSS.3SG-trousers DEF Jimena

(21b) **Fátima x-u-rétz ri Jimena jun ru-wexaj*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break DEF Jimena one POSS.3SG-trousers

(21c) **Fátima x-u-rétz jun ri Jimena ru-wexaj*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break one DEF Jimena POSS.3SG-trousers
 ‘Fatima broke **trousers of Jimena’s**’.

- Marked possessor can be prenominal, preceding the demonstrative

- (22a) *Fátima x-u-rétz jun wexaj chin ri Jimena*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break one trousers GEN DEF Jimena
- (22b) *Fátima x-u-rétz chin ri Jimena jun wexaj*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break GEN DEF Jimena one trousers
- (22c) **Fátima x-u-rétz jun chin ri Jimena wexaj*
 Fatima CMP-A.3SG-break one GEN DEF Jimena trousers
 ‘Fatima broke **trousers of Jimena’s**’.

5.2.2. Possessors and adjectives

- Prenominal adjectives are compatible for both

- (23a) *rìn x-in-tz’ilobisaj ri säq ru-camisa xta Isabel*
 I CMP-1SG-dirty DEF white POSS.3SG-shirt CLF.F Isabel
- (23b) *rìn x-in-tz’ilobisaj ri säq camisa chin xta Isabel*
 I CMP-1SG-dirty DEF white shirt GEN CLF.F Isabel
 ‘I dirtied **Isabel’s white shirt**’.

- Postnominal adjectives are compatible only with marked possessors

- (24a) *rìn x-in-tz’ilobisaj ri camisa säq chin xta Isabel*
 I CMP-1SG-dirty DEF shirt white GEN CLF.F Isabel
- (24b) **rìn x-in-tz’ilobisaj ri ru-camisa säq xta Isabel*
 I CMP-1SG-dirty DEF POSS.3SG-shirt white CLF.F Isabel
 ‘I dirtied **Isabel’s white shirt**’.

5.2.2. Possessors and demonstratives

NB: two types of demonstratives: (i) prepositional article-like “weak” demonstratives (ii) postpositional emphatic/contrastive “strong” demonstratives

- Postpositional “strong” demonstratives are incompatible with unmarked possessor phrases in any word order.

- (25a) **re ru-po’t María re’ jebël=ök, y la jun ne’*
 DEM.PR POSS.3SG-güipil Mary DEM.PR.EMPH nice EMPH and DEM.DIS one NEG
- (25b) **re ru-po’t re’ María jebël=ök, y la jun ne’*
 DEM.PR POSS.3SG-güipil DEM.PR.EMPH Mary nice EMPH and DEM.DIS one NEG
 Int: ‘**This Mary’s güipil** is nice, and that one is not so’.

To express the possessor the demonstrative has to be dropped.

- (26) *re jun ru-po’t María jebël=ök, y la jun ne’*
 DEM.PR one POSS.3SG-güipil Mary nice=EMPH and DEM.DIS one NEG
 ‘**This güipil of her** is nice, and that one is not so’.

If the possessor is expressed only with a prefix, demonstratives are possible

- (27) *re ru-po’t (*rija’) re’ jebël=ök, y la jun ne’*
 DEM.PR POSS.3SG-güipil 3SG DEM.PR.EMPH nice=EMPH and DEM.DIS
 one NEG
 ‘**This güipil of her** is nice, and that one is not so’.

- Postpositional “strong” demonstratives precede a marked possessor

(28) *re po't re' chin María jebël=ök*
 DEM.PR güipil DEM.PR.EMPH GEN Mary nice=EMPH
 ‘**This Mary’s güipil** is nice’.

5.2.3. Universal quantifiers

- In constructions with universal quantifiers, the marked possessor is preferred

(29a) *x-e-n-tzët chi oxi' libro chin xta María*
 CMP-B.3PL-A.1SG-see all three book GEN CLF.F Mary
 (29b) *?x-e-n-tzët chi oxi' ru-libro xta María*
 CMP-B.3PL-A.1SG-see all three POSS.3SG-book CLF.F Mary
 ‘I’ve seen **all the three Mary’s books**’.

5.3. Possessors and possessive phrases in nominal predication

- Unmarked possessor cannot be in a nominal predication

(30) *re jun uq ko'öl *(ri-chin) xta Jimena*
 DEM.PR one skirt little POSS.3SG-GEN CLF.F Jimena
 ‘This small skirt **is Jimena’s**’.

Even with inalienable possessors

(31) *ri aqän-aj ri x-u-kach'uj Fátima chin Jimena*
 DEF leg-NPSD DEF CMP-A.3SG-press Fatima GEN Jimena
 ‘The leg that Jimena stepped on is Fatima’s’.

(32) *re jun pantalón re' w-ichin *(rïn)*
 DEM.PR one trousers DEM.PR.EMPH POSS.1SG-GEN I
 ‘These trousers **are mine**’.

5.4. Pronominalization of possessors and ellipsis of the head

For now, I see the pronominalization problematic:

(33a) *aw-ichin rat achike x-ki-chop el?*
 POSS.2SG-GEN you WH CMP-A.3PL-take PTCL

(33b) *achike aw-ichin rat x-ki-chop el?*
 WH POSS.2SG-GEN you CMP-A.3PL-take PTCL

(33c) *achike x-ki-chop el aw-ichin rat?*
 WH CMP-A.3PL-take PTCL POSS.2SG-GEN you
 ‘**What** have they taken **of your** things?’

(34a) **achike chin Jimena x-u-kach'uj Fátima?*
 WH GEN Jimena CMP-A.3SG-press Fatima

(34b) **chin Jimena achike xukach'uj Fatima?*
 GEN Jimena WH CMP-A.3SG-press Fatima
 Int.: ‘What stepped Jimena to Fatima on?’

- With an elided head only marked possessor is possible

(35) *x-in-tz'et k'iy po't, pero man x-in-tz'et ta ri käg*
 CMP-A.1SG-see many güipil but NEG CMP-A.1SG-see MOD DEF red
*chin xta Julia / *ru-käg xta Julia*
 GEN CLF.F Julia POSS.3SG-red CLF.F Julia
 ‘I’ve seen a lot of güipils, but I haven’t seen **Julia’s red one**’.

- (36) *x-in-tz'et* *k'iy* *po't*, *pero man x-in-tz'et* *ta* *ri* *küq*
 CMP-A.1SG-see many güipil but NEG CMP-A.1SG-see MOD DEF red
aw-ichin / **ri* *a-küq* *rat*
 POSS.2SG-GEN DEF POSS.2SG-red you
 'I've seen a lot of güipils, but I haven't seen **your red one**'.

5.5. Interim summary

	Unmarked possessor	Chin-possessor
Agreement	OK	*
Word order	H+D / *D+H	H+D / D+H
Other constituents	restrictions	no restrictions
Nominal predication	*	OK
Pronominalization/ellipsis	*	OK

6. Conclusions

- Head-marking construction: core possessive relations → real possessive adnominal construction; Possessor is within the NP/DP
- Chin-possessor construction: almost all adnominal relations including alienable possessive → also can be considered as a possessive construction
- Chin possessor does not trigger agreement, can be autonomous and is outside the DP
- In contrast to, for example, SAE external possessors (König, Haspelmath 1997), chin-possessor is rather inanimate/non-specific and the relation is rather alienable
- Can it be an adjunct to a DP?
- Are the possessors and non-specific chin-dependents equal?

Abbreviations

1-3 – person, A – ergative agreement, B – absolutive agreement, CLF – classifier, CMP – completive, COM – comitative, DAT – dative, DEF – definiteness, DEM – demonstrative, DIM – diminutive, DIS – distal, EMPH – emphatic, EX – existential particle, F – feminine, GEN – genitive, ICMP – incomplete, IMP – imperative, IRR – irrealis, LOC – locative, M – masculine, MOD – modal particle, NCMP – non-completive, NEG – negation, NPSD – non-possessed form, PL – plural, POSS – possessor, PSD – possessed form, PTCL – particle, PR – proximal, SG – singular, STEM – special verbal stem, WH – question word

References

- Brown, R. M., Maxwell, J. M., & Little, W. E. *La üt awäch?: introduction to Kaqchikel Maya language*. University of Texas Press. – 2010.
- Koptjevskaja-Tamm, M. Adnominal possession in the European languages: form and function. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung* 55. – 2002. – P. 141-172.
- König, E., Haspelmath, M. "Les constructions à possesseur externe dans les langues d'Europe." In Jack Feuillet (ed.), *Actance et valence dans les langues de l'Europe*. (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology/EUROTYP, 202.) Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. – 1997. P. 525 - 606.
- Krasnoukhova O. *The noun phrase in the languages of South America: diss.* – Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics, 2012.
- Matzar, P. G., Guaján, J. O. R., & Maya'Ajtz'iib, O. K. *Rukemik ri Kaqchikel chi'*. Cholsamaj. – 1997.
- Nichols, J. *Head-marking and dependent-marking grammar.* – *Language*, Vol. 62, Num. 1. – 1986. – P. 56-119.
- Nichols J. *On alienable and inalienable possession //In honor of Mary Haas.* – 1988. – C. 557-609.